Weighted Parity-Check Codes for Channels with State and Asymmetric Channels

Chih Wei Ling, Yanxiao Liu and Cheuk Ting Li <chihweiLing@link.cuhk.edu.hk> <yanxiaoliu@link.cuhk.edu.hk> <ctli@ie.cuhk.edu.hk>

Department of Information Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

1st July 2022

[Introduction and Motivation](#page-2-0)

- 2 [Our Construction: Weighted Parity-Check \(WPC\) Codes](#page-9-0)
- 3 [Main Result: Capacity-Achieving WPC](#page-21-0)
	- [Simulation and Result](#page-29-0)
- 5 [Conclusion and Discussions](#page-30-0)

 Ω

Background: Find practical construction for the Gelfand-Pinsker setting with cost constraint and for the asymmetric channels

4 0 8

- Background: Find practical construction for the Gelfand-Pinsker setting with cost constraint and for the asymmetric channels
	- [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1) proposed nested linear code, but not sparse
- Background: Find practical construction for the Gelfand-Pinsker setting with cost constraint and for the asymmetric channels
	- [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1) proposed nested linear code, but not sparse
	- [Martinian and Wainwright \[2006\]](#page-35-2) used sparse graphical code to generate nested linear code which is practical
- Background: Find practical construction for the Gelfand-Pinsker setting with cost constraint and for the asymmetric channels
	- [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1) proposed nested linear code, but not sparse
	- [Martinian and Wainwright \[2006\]](#page-35-2) used sparse graphical code to generate nested linear code which is practical
	- [Li and Anantharam \[2021\]](#page-35-3) proposed Poisson functional representation construction, with the best known second-order error bound [\[Scarlett,](#page-35-4) [2015\]](#page-35-4) compared to other finite-blocklength schemes, but is impractical
- Background: Find practical construction for the Gelfand-Pinsker setting with cost constraint and for the asymmetric channels
	- [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1) proposed nested linear code, but not sparse
	- [Martinian and Wainwright \[2006\]](#page-35-2) used sparse graphical code to generate nested linear code which is practical
	- [Li and Anantharam \[2021\]](#page-35-3) proposed Poisson functional representation construction, with the best known second-order error bound [\[Scarlett,](#page-35-4) [2015\]](#page-35-4) compared to other finite-blocklength schemes, but is impractical
- Our goal is to construct a code that is:
	- Practical
- Background: Find practical construction for the Gelfand-Pinsker setting with cost constraint and for the asymmetric channels
	- [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1) proposed nested linear code, but not sparse
	- [Martinian and Wainwright \[2006\]](#page-35-2) used sparse graphical code to generate nested linear code which is practical
	- [Li and Anantharam \[2021\]](#page-35-3) proposed Poisson functional representation construction, with the best known second-order error bound [\[Scarlett,](#page-35-4) [2015\]](#page-35-4) compared to other finite-blocklength schemes, but is impractical
- Our goal is to construct a code that is:
	- **Practical**
	- Applicable to asymmetric channels (unlike [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1))
- Background: Find practical construction for the Gelfand-Pinsker setting with cost constraint and for the asymmetric channels
	- [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1) proposed nested linear code, but not sparse
	- [Martinian and Wainwright \[2006\]](#page-35-2) used sparse graphical code to generate nested linear code which is practical
	- [Li and Anantharam \[2021\]](#page-35-3) proposed Poisson functional representation construction, with the best known second-order error bound [\[Scarlett,](#page-35-4) [2015\]](#page-35-4) compared to other finite-blocklength schemes, but is impractical
- Our goal is to construct a code that is:
	- Practical
	- Applicable to asymmetric channels (unlike [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1))
	- Having error performance as good as (and sometimes better than) the construction in [Barron et al. \[2003\]](#page-35-1)

Query Functions

Let $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$ be a full-rank matrix, called the *full parity check matrix*

- H uniformly chosen random full-rank matrix
- \bullet Also works for sparse **H**, but the analysis is left for future study

Query Functions

- Let $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$ be a full-rank matrix, called the *full parity check matrix*
	- **H** uniformly chosen random full-rank matrix
	- Also works for sparse H , but the analysis is left for future study
- For a *bias vector* $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, \ldots, q_n] \in [0,1]^n$, define the \mathbf{q} -*weight* of a vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ as

$$
w_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u}) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{u_i} (1 - q_i)^{1 - u_i} = P_{x_i \sim \text{Bern}(q_i)}(\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u})
$$

 200

Query Functions

- Let $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$ be a full-rank matrix, called the *full parity check matrix*
	- **H** uniformly chosen random full-rank matrix
	- Also works for sparse H , but the analysis is left for future study
- For a *bias vector* $\mathbf{q} = [q_1, \ldots, q_n] \in [0,1]^n$, define the \mathbf{q} -*weight* of a vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ as

$$
w_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{u}) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i^{u_i} (1 - q_i)^{1 - u_i} = P_{x_i \sim \text{Bern}(q_i)}(\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{u})
$$

Definition

Given the bias vectors $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in [0,1]^n$ (we call \mathbf{p} the codeword bias, and \mathbf{q} the *parity bias*), the *query function* with respect to H is given by

$$
f_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) := \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} w_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) w_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{H}^T)
$$
(1)

 \leftarrow \Box

C. W. Ling, Y. Liu and C. T. Li [Weighted Parity-Check Codes](#page-0-0) 1st July 2022 4/13

∍

Definition: Encoder

Given the *encoder codeword bias function* $\mathbf{p}_e: \mathbb{F}_2^k \to [0,1]^n$, which maps a message $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{m}}\in\mathbb{F}_2^k$ (and other information available at the encoder) to a bias vector $\boldsymbol {\mathsf p}_e(\boldsymbol{m})$, and the *encoder parity bias function* $\boldsymbol {\mathsf q}_e: \mathbb{F}_2^k \to [0,1]^n$. The encoding function is

$$
\mathbf{m} \mapsto \mathbf{x} = f_{\mathsf{H}}\left(\mathbf{p}_e(\mathbf{m}), \, \mathbf{q}_e(\mathbf{m})\right) \tag{2}
$$

つへへ

Definition: Encoder

Given the *encoder codeword bias function* $\mathbf{p}_e: \mathbb{F}_2^k \to [0,1]^n$, which maps a message $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{m}}\in\mathbb{F}_2^k$ (and other information available at the encoder) to a bias vector $\boldsymbol {\mathsf p}_e(\boldsymbol{m})$, and the *encoder parity bias function* $\boldsymbol {\mathsf q}_e: \mathbb{F}_2^k \to [0,1]^n$. The encoding function is

$$
\mathbf{m} \mapsto \mathbf{x} = f_{\mathbf{H}}\left(\mathbf{p}_{e}(\mathbf{m}), \, \mathbf{q}_{e}(\mathbf{m})\right) \tag{2}
$$

Definition: Decoder

Similarly, given the decoder codeword and parity bias functions $\mathbf{p}_d, \mathbf{q}_d : \mathbb{F}_2^n \to [0,1]^n.$ For a corrupted version $\mathbf y$ of $\mathbf x$, the decoding function is

$$
\mathbf{y} \mapsto \hat{\mathbf{m}} = \left[(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}})_{1}, \ldots, (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{T}})_{k} \right],
$$
 (3)

where

$$
\hat{\mathbf{x}} := f_{\mathbf{H}}\left(\mathbf{p}_d(\mathbf{y}), \, \mathbf{q}_d(\mathbf{y})\right) \tag{4}
$$

Binary symmetric channel with parameter β , i.e., $P(y_i|x_i)$ is $\text{BSC}(\beta)$

4 0 8

Binary symmetric channel with parameter β , i.e., $P(y_i|x_i)$ is $\text{BSC}(\beta)$ • To recover the conventional linear code, we take

$$
\mathbf{p}_e(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}^n, \qquad \mathbf{q}_e(\mathbf{m}) = [\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}^{n-k}],
$$

$$
\mathbf{p}_d(\mathbf{y}) = \beta \mathbf{1}^n + (1 - 2\beta)\mathbf{y}, \qquad \mathbf{q}_d(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}^n,
$$

and substitute into Equations [\(2\)](#page-12-0) and [\(4\)](#page-12-1)

Binary symmetric channel with parameter β , i.e., $P(y_i|x_i)$ is $\text{BSC}(\beta)$ **•** To recover the conventional linear code, we take

$$
\mathbf{p}_e(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}^n, \qquad \mathbf{q}_e(\mathbf{m}) = [\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{0}^{n-k}],
$$

$$
\mathbf{p}_d(\mathbf{y}) = \beta \mathbf{1}^n + (1 - 2\beta)\mathbf{y}, \qquad \mathbf{q}_d(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{1}^n,
$$

and substitute into Equations [\(2\)](#page-12-0) and [\(4\)](#page-12-1)

Note that $w_{\mathbf{p}_d (\mathbf{y})}(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})$ is the posterior distribution of \mathbf{x}

 \rightarrow

4 D F

 \Rightarrow

É

Assume x_i is binary, and s_i , y_i are arbitrary

• Can generalize to larger x_i by considering \mathbb{F}_ℓ instead of \mathbb{F}_2

€⊡

Assume x_i is binary, and s_i , y_i are arbitrary

• Can generalize to larger x_i by considering \mathbb{F}_ℓ instead of \mathbb{F}_2

• Encoder: after observing **m** and **s**, takes

$$
\mathbf{p}_e(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s}) = [p_e(s_1), \dots, p_e(s_n)], \quad \mathbf{q}_e(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s}) = [\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{q}], \quad (5)
$$

where we choose $p_e(s) = P_{X|S}(1|s)$ so x approximately follows $P_{X|S}$

Assume x_i is binary, and s_i , y_i are arbitrary

• Can generalize to larger x_i by considering \mathbb{F}_ℓ instead of \mathbb{F}_2

• Encoder: after observing **m** and **s**, takes

$$
\mathbf{p}_e(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s}) = [p_e(s_1), \dots, p_e(s_n)], \quad \mathbf{q}_e(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{s}) = [\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{q}], \quad (5)
$$

where we choose $p_e(s) = P_{X|S}(1|s)$ so x approximately follows $P_{X|S}$ • Decoder: after observing **y**, takes

$$
\mathbf{p}_d(\mathbf{y}) = [p_d(y_1), \dots, p_d(y_n)], \quad \mathbf{q}_d(\mathbf{y}) = [\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}^k, \mathbf{q}], \quad (6)
$$

and out[p](#page-16-0)uts $\hat{\mathbf{m}} = [(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^T)_1, \dots, (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^T)_k]$ $\hat{\mathbf{m}} = [(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^T)_1, \dots, (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{H}^T)_k]$, w[he](#page-19-0)r[e](#page-21-0) $p_d(y) = P_{X|Y}(1|y)$ $p_d(y) = P_{X|Y}(1|y)$

WPC is Capacity-Achieving

We first state our main result as follows:

Theorem 1

Assume $\mathbf{q} \sim P_Q$ i.i.d., where P_Q is a discrete distribution over [0, 1] with finite support satisfying

$$
E[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1 - H(X|S)}{1 - R},
$$
\n(7)

where $H_b : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the binary entropy function

 200

We first state our main result as follows:

Theorem 1

Assume $\mathbf{q} \sim P_Q$ i.i.d., where P_Q is a discrete distribution over [0, 1] with finite support satisfying

$$
E[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1 - H(X|S)}{1 - R},
$$
\n(7)

where $H_b : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the binary entropy function

• Then, for any $R < I(X; Y) - I(X; S)$, the probability of error of the code tends to 0, and the empirical joint distribution of $\{(\mathsf{s}_i,\mathsf{x}_i)\}_{i=1,...,n}$ tends to $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{X}|\mathsf{S}}$ in probability as $n\to\infty$

We first state our main result as follows:

Theorem 1

Assume $\mathbf{q} \sim P_Q$ i.i.d., where P_Q is a discrete distribution over [0, 1] with finite support satisfying

$$
E[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1 - H(X|S)}{1 - R},
$$
\n(7)

where $H_b : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the binary entropy function

- Then, for any $R < I(X; Y) I(X; S)$, the probability of error of the code tends to 0, and the empirical joint distribution of $\{(\mathsf{s}_i,\mathsf{x}_i)\}_{i=1,...,n}$ tends to $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{X}|\mathsf{S}}$ in probability as $n\to\infty$
- Unlike nested linear codes, WPC also works for asymmetric $P_{X|S}$

We first state our main result as follows:

Theorem 1

Assume $\mathbf{q} \sim P_Q$ i.i.d., where P_Q is a discrete distribution over [0, 1] with finite support satisfying

$$
E[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1 - H(X|S)}{1 - R},
$$
\n(7)

where $H_b : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the binary entropy function

- Then, for any $R < I(X; Y) I(X; S)$, the probability of error of the code tends to 0, and the empirical joint distribution of $\{(\mathsf{s}_i,\mathsf{x}_i)\}_{i=1,...,n}$ tends to $\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{S}}\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{X}|\mathsf{S}}$ in probability as $n\to\infty$
- Unlike nested linear codes, WPC also works for asymmetric $P_{X|S}$
- Proof uses Sanov's theorem and robust typicality

C. W. Ling, Y. Liu and C. T. Li [Weighted Parity-Check Codes](#page-0-0) 1st July 2022 8/13

To achieve capacity, we need $\mathsf{E}[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1-H(X|S)}{1-R}$

4 D F

Þ

- To achieve capacity, we need $\mathsf{E}[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1-H(X|S)}{1-R}$
- (Threshold) Take $P_Q(0) = P_Q(1) = (1 \gamma)/2$, $P_Q(1/2) = \gamma$, where $\gamma = (1 - H(X|S))/(1 - R)$
	- Essentially equivalent to the nested linear code

4 D F

- To achieve capacity, we need $\mathsf{E}[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1-H(X|S)}{1-R}$
- (Threshold) Take $P_Q(0) = P_Q(1) = (1 \gamma)/2$, $P_Q(1/2) = \gamma$, where $\gamma = (1 - H(X|S))/(1 - R)$

Essentially equivalent to the nested linear code

- (Linear) Take P_Q to be the uniform distribution $Unif[0, 1]$
	- $\mathsf{E}[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1-H(X|S)}{1-R}$ may not hold, not capacity achieving

- To achieve capacity, we need $\mathsf{E}[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1-H(X|S)}{1-R}$
- (Threshold) Take $P_Q(0) = P_Q(1) = (1 \gamma)/2$, $P_Q(1/2) = \gamma$, where $\gamma = (1 - H(X|S))/(1 - R)$
	- Essentially equivalent to the nested linear code
- (Linear) Take P_Q to be the uniform distribution $Unif[0, 1]$
	- $\mathsf{E}[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1-H(X|S)}{1-R}$ may not hold, not capacity achieving
- (Threshold linear) Construct P_Q using the cdf

$$
F_Q(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \\ \max\{\theta/2, 0\} & \text{if } 0 \le t < |\theta|/2 \\ t & \text{if } |\theta|/2 \le t < 1 - |\theta|/2 \\ 1 - \max\{\theta/2, 0\} & \text{if } 1 - |\theta|/2 \le t < 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } t \ge 1 \end{cases}
$$
 (8)

where $\theta \in [-1,1]$ is chosen s.t. $\mathsf{E}[H_b(Q)] = \frac{1-H(X|S)}{1-R}$

• Combines the linear method for t close to $1/2$, and the threshold method for smaller and larger t's

C. W. Ling, Y. Liu and C. T. Li [Weighted Parity-Check Codes](#page-0-0) 1st July 2022 9/13

Simulation Result

C. W. Ling, Y. Liu and C. T. Li [Weighted Parity-Check Codes](#page-0-0) 1st July 2022 10/13

 299

We propose the weighted parity check code, applicable to channels with state and asymmetric channels

4 0 8

∍

- We propose the weighted parity check code, applicable to channels with state and asymmetric channels
- Simulation results show that WPC achieves a smaller error probability compared to nested linear codes
- We propose the weighted parity check code, applicable to channels with state and asymmetric channels
- Simulation results show that WPC achieves a smaller error probability compared to nested linear codes
- In the full paper [\[Ling et al., 2022\]](#page-35-5), we show that our weighted construction also applies to the Wyner-Ziv setting [\[Wyner and Ziv,](#page-35-6) [1976\]](#page-35-6)

 200

- We propose the weighted parity check code, applicable to channels with state and asymmetric channels
- Simulation results show that WPC achieves a smaller error probability compared to nested linear codes
- In the full paper [\[Ling et al., 2022\]](#page-35-5), we show that our weighted construction also applies to the Wyner-Ziv setting [\[Wyner and Ziv,](#page-35-6) [1976\]](#page-35-6)
- The code can be made more practical by considering a sparse parity-check matrix, though this is left for future work

The work of Cheuk Ting Li was supported in part by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council Grant ECS No. CUHK 24205621, and the Direct Grant for Research, The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Project ID: 4055133)

4 D F

 QQQ

- Richard J Barron, Brian Chen, and Gregory W Wornell. The duality between information embedding and source coding with side information and some applications. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 49(5):1159–1180, 2003.
- Cheuk Ting Li and Venkat Anantharam. A unified framework for one-shot achievability via the Poisson matching lemma. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, $67(5)$: 2624–2651, 2021.
- Chih Wei Ling, Yanxiao Liu, and Cheuk Ting Li. Weighted parity-check codes for channels with state and asymmetric channels. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.10171, 2022.
- Emin Martinian and Martin J Wainwright. Low-density constructions can achieve the Wyner-Ziv and Gelfand-Pinsker bounds. pages 484–488, 2006.
- Jonathan Scarlett. On the dispersions of the Gel'fand–Pinsker channel and dirty paper coding. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 61(9):4569–4586, 2015.
- A. D. Wyner and J. Ziv. The rate-distortion function for source coding with side information at the decoder. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 22(1):1–10, January 1976. ISSN 0018-9448. doi: 10.1109/TIT.1976.1055508.

K ロ ⊁ K 御 ⊁ K 君 ⊁ K 君 ⊁ …